

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
ABSTRACT	xvi
DECLARATION	xviii
PUBLICATIONS	xix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xx
DEDICATION	xxii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION	4
2.2 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON DILUTION	4
2.2.1 Dilution – Its Definition and Significance	4
2.2.2 Previous Dilution Studies	6
2.2.3 The Effects of Voltage on Dilution	9
2.2.4 The Effects of Welding Current on Dilution	11
2.2.5 The Effects of Work Distance on Dilution	13
2.2.6 The Effects of Travel Speed on Dilution	14
2.2.7 The Effects of Preheat Temperature on Dilution	14
2.2.8 The Effects of Bead Overlap on Dilution	14
2.3 FACTORS AFFECTING WELD BEAD GEOMETRY	15
2.3.1 Studies Treating the Arc as a Point Source of Heat	15
2.3.2 Studies Treating the Arc as a Distributed Source of Heat	16
2.3.3 Weld Pool Convection and Its Effect	16
2.3.4 Weld Pool Depression and Its Effect	20

2.3.5 Studies Considering the Effects of the Molten Droplets	21
2.3.6 Prediction of Weld Bead Geometry	21
2.4 HOMOGENEITY OF THE WELD BEAD	25
2.5 THE METALLURGY OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS	26
2.6 THE ABRASIVE WEAR OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS	29
2.6.1 Definitions and Types of Abrasive Wear	30
2.6.2 The Relation Between Abrasive Wear Resistance and Hardness	31
2.6.3 The Influence of Carbide Volume Fraction on Abrasive Wear Performance	31
2.6.4 The Influence of Matrix Structure on Abrasive Wear Performance	34
2.6.5 Check Cracking in Hardfacing Overlays	34
2.6.6 A Quantitative Approach to the Abrasive Wear of Composite Materials	35
2.7 THE RATIONALE FOR THE CURRENT WORK	37

3. GEOMETRY, DILUTION AND COMPOSITION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS..... 39

3.1 INTRODUCTION	39
3.2 EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE	39
3.2.1 Experimental Program for Consumable A	40
3.2.2 Experimental Program for Consumable B	41
3.2.3 Analysis of Samples for Consumable A	41
3.2.4 Analysis of Samples for Consumable B	41
3.3 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON BEAD WIDTH	42
3.3.1 A First-Principles Approach	42
3.3.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	48
3.4 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON PENETRATION	49
3.4.1 Expressions for Penetration	49
3.4.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	53
3.5 BEAD HEIGHT	54
3.5.1 An Expression for Bead Height	54
3.5.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	58
3.6 DILUTION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS	60
3.6.1 The Bednarz-Deam Model (see Francis et al., 1998)	60
3.6.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	62
3.7 COMPOSITION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS	63
3.8 CONCLUSIONS	65

4. ABRASIVE WEAR RESISTANCE OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS.....	67
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	67
4.2 VOLUME FRACTIONS OF CARBIDES.....	67
4.2.1 <i>Estimating the Total Carbide Volume Fraction</i>	68
4.2.2 <i>An Alternative Approach for Estimating the Total Carbide Volume Fraction</i>	68
4.2.3 <i>Estimating Primary Carbide Volume Fractions</i>	71
4.3 HARDNESS	74
4.4 PIN-ABRASION TESTING.....	76
4.4.1 <i>Experimental Technique (Muscara and Sinnot, 1972)</i>	76
4.4.2 <i>Results</i>	77
4.4.3 <i>Analysis of Results</i>	80
4.5 CONCLUSIONS	86
 5. FIELD TRIALS	 88
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	88
5.2 THE HEEL PLATES EXPERIMENT	89
5.2.1 <i>Description of Experiment</i>	89
5.2.2 <i>Methodology for Selecting Welding Parameters</i>	91
5.2.3 <i>Achieving the Specified Overlay Height</i>	96
5.2.4 <i>Results</i>	98
5.3 THE JAW-CRUSHER EXPERIMENT	100
5.3.1 <i>Description of Experiment</i>	100
5.3.2 <i>Results</i>	101
5.4 DISCUSSION.....	103
 6. AN ANALYSIS OF MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	 106
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	106
6.2 DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL.....	106
6.2.1 <i>Definition of Variables</i>	106
6.2.2. <i>Definition of Problem</i>	108
6.2.3. <i>Analysis</i>	108
6.3 STEADY-STATE DILUTION FOR \square LINEAR WITH \square	111

6.4 RATE OF CONVERGENCE FOR \square LINEAR WITH \square	112
6.5 A SPREADSHEET FOR PREDICTING DILUTION IN MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	116
6.5.1 <i>Generating a Spreadsheet</i>	119
6.6 COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS.....	119
6.7 AN EMPIRICAL CORRECTION	123
6.8 REFINEMENT OF THE MODEL	127
6.8.1 <i>A New Variable, \square</i>	128
6.8.2 <i>Dilution of an Overlay</i>	129
6.9 SUMMARY.....	132
 7. A GEOMETRIC SOLUTION FOR MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	134
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	134
7.2 THE STEADY-STATE BEAD PROFILE.....	134
7.3 PEAK-TO-VALLEY RIPPLE.....	138
7.4 THE FUSION LINE.....	140
7.4.1 <i>A Spreadsheet Solution</i>	142
7.5 COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS.....	146
7.6 GAS-TUNGSTEN ARC WELDING EXPERIMENTS.....	149
7.6.1 <i>Description of Experiments</i>	150
7.6.2 <i>Results</i>	152
7.6.3 <i>Discussion</i>	155
7.7 LIMITATIONS OF THE MODEL	159
7.7.1 <i>Sensitivity to Input Data</i>	159
7.7.2 <i>Transient Conditions</i>	160
7.7.3 <i>Inter-Pass Temperature</i>	160
7.7.4 <i>Bead Profile</i>	162
7.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	163
 8. PRINCIPLES FOR WELD DEPOSITION OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRON	
SURFACE LAYERS	164
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	164
8.2 ACHIEVING THE DESIRED MICROSTRUCTURE	164
8.3 DILUTION CONTROL FOR SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS	166

8.3.1 Predicting Single-Bead Dilution.....	166
8.3.2 The Effects of Welding Parameters on Single-Bead Dilution	166
8.3.3 Achieving Minimum Dilution for Single-Bead Deposits.....	168
8.4 DILUTION CONTROL FOR MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	169
8.4.1 Predicting the Dilution of Multi-Pass Overlays.....	169
8.4.2 The Significance of Overlay Height.....	169
8.4.3 Achieving the Specified Overlay Height.....	170
8.4.4 The Effects of Welding Parameters on the Dilution of Multi-Pass Overlays	171
8.4.5 Achieving Minimum Dilution in Multi-Pass Overlays.....	176
8.5 PREDICTING THE DILUTION IN A SECOND LAYER	177
8.6 PREDICTING THE GEOMETRY OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRON OVERLAYS	178
8.6.1 Single-Bead Deposits	178
8.6.2 Multi-Pass Overlays.....	180
8.7 PREDICTING THE WEAR RATE IN A SPECIFIC ABRASION SYSTEM	181
 9. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	185
9.1 CONCLUSIONS	185
9.2 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	188
 10. REFERENCES	191
 APPENDIX 1: - EXAMPLES OF THE OUTPUT FROM THE k-\squareSPREADSHEET PROGRAM	199

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: - The metallographical measurement of dilution for a single-bead deposit.	5
Figures 2.2: - Schematic representations of the flow patterns on the weld pool surface and the sub-surface flow patterns that may result due to surface tension gradients (after Heiple and Roper, 1982).....	18
Figure 2.3: - A comparison of the calculated weld bead shapes for two different calculation methods (after Kim and Na, 1995).	19
Figure 2.4: - Schematic illustration showing the regions of a heterogeneous weld (after Baeslack and Savage, 1979).....	26
Figure 2.5: - The metastable Fe-Cr-C liquidus surface (after Jackson, 1970).	27
Figures 2.6: - Hypoeutectic, eutectic and hypereutectic microstructures (after Francis and Jones, 1997).	28
Figure 2.7: - Abrasive wear loss as a function of the total carbide volume fraction (after Zum Gahr and Eldis, 1980).....	33
Figure 2.8: - A graphical representation of the upper and lower limits for abrasive wear of composite materials after Zum Gahr (1987).....	36
Figure 3.1: - The analytical solution for bead width proposed by Christensen <i>et al.</i> (1965).	42
Figure 3.2: - Measured bead width vs the least-squares best fit to equation 3.4 for 64 samples deposited with consumable A.	46
Figure 3.3: - Measured bead width vs the least-squares best fit to equation 3.4 for the 15 samples deposited with consumable B.	47
Figures 3.4: - An example of the bead profiles that result at different travel speeds.....	47
Figure 3.5: - The effect of work distance on the bead width.	48
Figure 3.6: - The effect of preheat temperature on the bead width.	49
Figure 3.7: - Measured single-bead penetration against fitted penetration for consumable A. The data are a least-squares best fit to equation 3.5.	50

Figure 3.8: - Measured single-bead penetrations vs fitted penetrations for consumable A. The fitted penetrations are a least-squares best fit to equation 3.7.	52
Figure 3.9: - The variation in single-bead penetration with work distance.	53
Figure 3.10: - The variation in single-bead penetration with preheat temperature.	54
Figure 3.11: - A schematic representation of the parabolic model for a single-bead deposit.	55
Figure 3.12: - A plot of measured single-bead heights for three different welding consumables against the height predicted by equation 3.11.	56
Figure 3.13: - Measured single-bead height vs fitted height with the empirical correction in equation 3.12.	58
Figure 3.14: - The variation in single-bead height with work distance.	59
Figure 3.15: - The variation in single-bead height with preheat temperature.	59
Figure 3.16: - Measured dilution data plotted against fitted values from the Bednarz-Deam model for single-bead dilution. The data were obtained from 32 single beads deposited with consumable A.	60
Figure 3.17: - The variation in single-bead dilution with work distance.	62
Figure 3.18: - The variation in single-bead dilution with preheat temperature.	63
Figure 3.19: - A comparison of measured carbon concentrations for samples deposited with consumable A with those predicted by assuming 100% deposition efficiency.	65
Figure 4.1: - A conventional hypereutectic microstructure.	73
Figure 4.2: - A complex regular microstructure.	73
Figure 4.3: - The existence of an unmixed zone near the fusion line.	73
Figure 4.4: - Vickers hardness vs estimated total carbide volume fraction for 32 single-bead samples deposited with consumable A.	75
Figure 4.5: - Pin weight loss vs carbon concentration in the weld deposit for three different welding consumables (after Yellup <i>et al.</i> , 1996).	78

Figure 4.6: -	The Dry Sand/Rubber Wheel wear data of Rense, Edwards and Frost (1983).	79
Figure 4.7: -	Measured vs fitted weight losses for the hypereutectic samples obtained with three different welding consumables.	83
Figure 4.8: -	The pin-abrasion data of Zum Gahr and Eldis (1980). These tests were performed on samples with a predominantly austenitic matrix.	85
Figure 4.9: -	The pin-abrasion data of Zum Gahr and Eldis (1980). These tests were performed on samples with a predominantly martensitic matrix.	86
Figure 5.1: -	A heel plate before service.	90
Figure 5.2: -	The location of the heel plates on the excavation bucket of the Caterpillar 988F wheel loader.	91
Figure 5.3: -	The metallographic measurement of the average dilution of a multi-pass overlay.	93
Figures 5.4: -	The microstructures of the test plates.	94, 95
Figure 5.5: -	The configuration of an overlay.	96
Figure 5.6: -	A heel plate after service.	99
Figures 5.7: -	The jaw-crusher plates after crushing 1000kg of quartzite-bearing rock.	102
Figure 6.1: -	Schematic representation of a bead in a multi-pass overlay.	107
Figure 6.2: -	An example of a convergence pattern for chromium concentration as predicted by the $k-\lambda$ model.	113
Figure 6.3: -	Chromium concentration vs bead number for three values of λ	114
Figure 6.4: -	The first spreadsheet for 5mm high overlays.	118
Figure 6.5: -	The penetration profile for a 2mm overlay.	124
Figure 6.6: -	The penetration profile for a 4mm overlay with high bead overlap.	124
Figure 6.7: -	Schematic representation of the punch-through effect in thin overlays. ...	125

Figure 6.8: -	A schematic representation of the repeating area of substrate, $A_{s(ov)}$, that is melted in each pass under steady-state conditions.	129
Figure 7.1: -	The measurement of the true bead width, w , and the projected bead width for a bead in a multi-pass overlay.	135
Figure 7.2: -	Coordinate system for bead profile analysis.	136
Figure 7.3: -	The limits of melting and the coordinate system for the melting parabola.	141
Figure 7.4: -	The straight line distance between the limits of melting, w^* , is shown in the diagram.	144
Figure 7.5: -	Schematic representation of the melting area correction.	144
Figure 7.6: -	The output of the geometric model for welding condition E (see Table 6.2 – page 121).	145
Figure 7.7: -	The output of the geometric model for welding condition H (see Table 6.2 – page 121).	145
Figure 7.8: -	Schematic representation of the GTAW welding experiments.	149
Figure 7.9: -	The configuration of a sample in the GTAW welding experiments.	150
Figures 7.10: -	The results of the GTAW welding experiments.	153
Figure 7.11: -	The effects of surface-active elements on weld pool convection and fusion zone geometry in GTAW weldments (after Lancaster, 1986).	156
Figure 7.12: -	An example of an alternating low-high penetration profile.	161
Figures 7.13: -	An example of a sudden variation in the penetration profile.	161
Figure 8.1: -	Estimates for the upper and lower limits on dilution in a multi-pass overlay.	171
Figures 8.2: -	The output of the geometric spreadsheet program for welding conditions C and E (see section 6.6).	175
Figure 8.3: -	A schematic representation of the link between welding parameters and abrasive wear rate for both single-bead deposits and multi-pass overlays.	184

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: -	A summary of the influence of welding parameters on dilution according to previous studies.	10
Table 3.1: -	The approximate compositions of the consumables used in the current work.	40
Table 3.2: -	A summary of the results of deposition efficiency measurements.....	64
Table 4.1: -	A comparison between carbide volume fractions predicted by Maratray and Usseglio-Nanot (1970) and those predicted by equations 4.2 and 4.3.	70
Table 4.2: -	A summary of the results of microhardness measurements.....	75
Table 4.3: -	A summary of the results of fitting the pin wear data in Figure 4.5 to equation 4.6.	82
Table 4.4: -	A summary of the results of fitting the data of Zum Gahr and Eldis (1980) to equation 4.6.	84
Table 5.1: -	A summary of the heel plate welding conditions.	90
Table 5.2: -	The average weight losses for each type of microstructure in the jaw-crusher tests.	101
Table 6.1: -	The value of the sum $1 + \square + \square^2 + \square^3 + \dots - 1$ is given as a function of bead number for various \square . The value at which the sum is deemed to have reached a steady state is also given for comparison.	115
Table 6.2: -	The experimental plan for eight multi-pass overlay samples.....	121
Table 6.3: -	A comparison of the $k-\square$ models predictions with experimental results....	122
Table 6.4: -	The predictions of the $k-\square$ model after an empirical correction was incorporated.....	127
Table 7.1: -	A comparison of the geometric models predictions with experimental results.	146
Table 7.2: -	A summary of the welding conditions for each of the GTAW experiments.	151

Tables 7.3: -	A summary of the results of cross-sectional area measurements for the GTAW welding experiments.....	154
Table 7.4: -	The procedure for predicting the area of white iron that was melted in GTAW Experiment III using equation 7.9.....	158
Table 7.5: -	The procedure for predicting the area of steel that was melted in GTAW Experiment III using equation 7.9.....	158
Table 8.1: -	Estimated single-bead dilutions, overlaps and steady-state overlay dilutions for consumable B under various welding conditions.	172

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	fitted parameter for deposition rate equation (eq. 2.4)
A	cross-sectional area of a weld bead
a_1, \dots, a_5	fitted constant terms or indices (general)
A_d	cross-sectional area of weld bead resulting from material deposited in that pass
A_m	cross-sectional area of material that is melted in an overlapping bead, excluding the area that is contributed by material that is deposited in that pass
$A_{s(ov)}$	cross-sectional area of substrate melted per pass in a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
$A_{s(sb)}$	cross-sectional area of substrate melted in a single-bead deposit
A_{st}	cross-sectional area of steel melted
A_{wi}	cross-sectional area of white iron melted
b	fitted parameter for deposition rate equation (eq. 2.4)
B	width of steel substrate (Fig. 5.5)
b_1, \dots, b_5	fitted constant terms or indices (general)
$[C]$	carbon concentration in the deposit
C_1, C_2	fitted parameters for bead width equation (eq. 3.4)
$[C]_{awm}$	carbon concentration in an all-weld-metal deposit
$[C]_{eut}$	average carbon concentration in the eutectic constituent
$[C]_m$	average carbon concentration in the matrix constituent
$[Cr]$	chromium concentration in the deposit
$[Cr]_{awm}$	chromium concentration in an all-weld-metal deposit
d	diameter of welding consumable
D	dilution of a weld deposit (general)
D_1	steady-state dilution of first layer
D_2	steady-state dilution of second layer with respect to substrate
$D_{2/1}$	steady-state dilution of second layer with respect to first layer

D_{av}	average dilution of a multi-pass deposit
$D_{n(sb)}$	normalised single-bead dilution
D_{ov}	steady-state dilution of a multi-pass overlay
D_{sb}	single-bead dilution
$D_{sb/wi}$	dilution of a single bead deposited on to white iron
E_{fm}	change in enthalpy required to melt filler material
E_s	change in enthalpy required to melt substrate
f	wire feed rate
$F()$	a mathematical function (eq. 3.2)
G	gas flow rate
h	single-bead height
H_{av}	average height of a multi-pass deposit
H_p	peak height of a bead in a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
H_{ss}	average height of a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
HD_{com}	hardness of a composite material
HD_c	microhardness of the carbide phase
HD_m	microhardness of matrix constituent
I	welding current
k	area fraction of a bead contributed by material deposited in that pass
K	fitted constant parameter (eq. 2.6)
$k\lambda$	thermal conductivity
l	work distance
L	length of an overlay
m	mass of welding consumable per unit length
n	dimensionless operating parameter
N	number of beads in an overlay
p	single-bead penetration
P_{av}	average penetration of a multi-pass deposit

P_{ss}	average penetration of a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
$PCVF$	primary carbide volume fraction
q	fitted parameter in wear resistance equation (eq. 4.5)
R	peak-to-valley ripple for a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
S	travel speed
t	total welding time
T_o	initial substrate temperature
T_c	chosen reference temperature
$TCMF$	total carbide mass fraction
$TCVF$	total carbide volume fraction
V	welding voltage, measured from the contact tip to the work piece
V_a	arc voltage
V_{fm}	volume of filler material deposited in a single pass
v	volume fraction of the reinforcing phase present in the material
v_i	volume fraction of i^{th} phase present in the material
w	single-bead width
w_n	normalised single-bead width
W	deposition rate
W_c	wear rate of a composite material
W_c^{-1}	apparent wear resistance of a composite material
$W_{dendrites}^{-1}$	apparent wear resistance of dendrites
$W_{eutectic}^{-1}$	apparent wear resistance of eutectic constituent
W_i	wear rate of i^{th} phase
W_i^{-1}	apparent wear resistance of i^{th} phase
W_m	wear rate of matrix constituent
W_m^{-1}	apparent wear resistance of matrix constituent
$W_{primary\ carbides}^{-1}$	apparent wear resistance of primary carbides
W_r	wear rate of reinforcing constituent

W_r^{-1}	apparent wear resistance of reinforcing constituent
w^*	width of melting parabola in geometric model
$[x]_{awm}$	concentration of element x in an all-weld-metal deposit
$[x]_{bm}$	concentration of element x in the base material
$[x]_i$	concentration of element x in the i^{th} bead of a multi-pass overlay
$[x]_{ss}$	concentration of element x in a multi-pass overlay under steady-state conditions
z	width of the parabolic function representing the steady-state bead profile
\square	fitted parameter for single-bead dilution equation (eq. 2.2)
$\square\square$	thermal diffusivity
\square	fitted parameter for single-bead dilution equation (eq. 2.2)
\square	$A_{s(ov)}$ as a fraction of $A_{s(sb)}$ for a matching single-bead deposit
\square	step-over between adjacent weld beads in a multi-pass overlay
\square_a	arc efficiency
\square_d	deposition efficiency
\square_m	melting efficiency
\square	bead wetting angle (see Figure 3.4(b))
\square_g	gun angle
\square_r	angle of rotation used in geometric model
\square	area fraction of a bead contributed by material from the previous bead
\square	density of an all-weld-metal deposit
\square_c	density of carbide phase
\square_m	density of matrix constituent
\square	overlap between adjacent weld beads in a multi-pass overlay

ABSTRACT

This study examines the mechanisms controlling the dilution, geometry and wear performance of weld-deposited high-chromium white iron surface layers. These layers are often deposited on steel components when resistance to abrasion is required. Manual-metal-arc welding (MMA) and flux-cored-arc welding (FCAW) are the processes most frequently employed to deposit such overlays. This work focuses on layers deposited by mechanised FCAW, as this process achieves higher deposition rates than MMA welding and affords a greater degree of control over individual welding variables.

Attention is first given to the factors affecting the dilution and geometry of single-bead deposits. The work presented in previous studies is reviewed and the findings are explained with reference to the welding literature. The findings of previous studies are also compared with results obtained in the current work. Expressions for the single-bead width and height are presented.

The wear performance of high-chromium white iron weld deposits is addressed. Wear testing was conducted both under laboratory conditions and in a series of field trials. The results of both sets of experiments are presented. The observed trends are then discussed and compared with the results of previous studies.

The mechanisms controlling dilution in multi-pass overlays were found to be different to those controlling the dilution of a single-bead deposit. Consequently, two distinct approaches were developed for predicting dilution in multi-pass overlays deposited in the

down-hand position. The first approach is semi-empirical and the second is based on first principles and geometry. The trends in the output data from each model were in close agreement. This agreement and the accuracy of the predictions provided a level of confidence in the output and, as such, the models could be used to draw conclusions and identify trends over a broader range of welding conditions.

This work culminates in what is believed to be the first set of working principles for the deposition of high-chromium white iron multi-pass overlays. Until now, the two most important features of a multi-pass overlay, namely the composition and geometry, had been determined by a trial-and-error process or retrospectively. It is believed that these principles will substantially improve the production and performance of weld overlays.

DECLARATION

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

John Francis

Department of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide

July 1999

PUBLICATIONS

Portions of this work appear in the following articles:

- ARNOLD, B.K., BEDNARZ, B., FRANCIS, J.A., JONES, E.P. and BEE, J. (1998): “Principles for Weld Deposition of Hardfacing – Field Trials”, CRC Project 95:23, Final Report – Part 2.
- FRANCIS, J.A. and JONES E.P. (1997): “The Influence of Welding Parameters on the Wear Performance of High Chromium White Iron Hardfacing Alloys”, *WTIA National Seminars*, July, Mackay, Australia.
- FRANCIS, J.A., BEDNARZ, B., ARNOLD, B.K., JONES, E.P. and BEE, J. (1998): “Principles for Weld Deposition of Hardfacing”, CRC Project 95:23, Final Report – Part 1.
- POWELL, G.L.F., FRANCIS, J.A., BEDNARZ, B. and LLOYD, P.G. (1997): “Undercooling and Welding Metallurgy”, Paper 49 in Proceedings of the Conference *Technological Developments and Advances for Australian Industry*, November, Melbourne, Australia.
- YELLUP, J.M., ARNOLD, B.K., FRANCIS, J.A. and BEE, J.V. (1996): “Development of a Hardfacing Procedure – The Significance of Welding Parameters”, *WTIA National Seminars*, July - August, Gladstone, Perth and Newcastle, Australia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work formed part of Project CRC 95:23, a broader project investigating the effects of welding parameters on the wear performance of hardfacing overlays. In particular, I would like to express my gratitude to the Cooperative Research Centre for Materials Welding and Joining for providing a financial scholarship which made this work possible. The project itself was conceived and initiated by WTIA Panel 8, “Reclamation”, and I am grateful for the support and encouragement given by the members of this panel.

I would like to thank Dr. John Bee of The University of Adelaide for his supervision, guidance and good humour.

I am grateful for the supervision and guidance of Dr. John Yellup, formerly with CSIRO Manufacturing Science and Technology, in the early part of this work.

Several other people were always willing to assist me with my work. In particular I would like to thank:

- Dr. Bernie Bednarz (CSIRO), project leader for CRC 95:23, who deserves special mention for his inspiration, guidance and friendship.
- Professor Ian Henderson, formerly with the Department of Mechanical Engineering at The University of Adelaide.
- Mr. Rick Gorman, formerly with Weldtronics Ltd., for invaluable discussions on many occasions.

- Mr. Geoff Goss for his enthusiasm and assistance with many of the experiments and his valuable advice on record keeping.
- Mr. Mark Banks and Mr. John Milde of Boral Quarries for their cooperation with the heel plates experiment in chapter 5.
- Mr. David Viano (CSIRO) for his advice and assistance in preparing this thesis.
- Mr. Theo Heijkoop and Mr. Phil Pederson (CSIRO) for casting the white iron bars used in the GTAW welding experiments in chapter 7.
- Mr. Brian Arnold and Mr. Ted Jones (CSIRO) for their assistance and advice on general experimental matters.
- Mr. Ken Barton and Mr. Mike Pullen (CSIRO) for their assistance with welding matters.
- Mr. Trevor Kenyon (CSIRO) for assistance and advice in preparing this thesis.
- Mr. Laurie Jarvis and Dr. Wojtek Mazur (CSIRO) for valuable discussions.
- Mr. John Terlet (The University of Adelaide – CEMMSA) for his assistance and advice relating to metallography.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their support and encouragement.