

Energetic TWIP

David Dye

Khandaker Rahman, Ananthi Sankaran, Vassili Vorontsov

Adventures in the Physical Metallurgy of Steels, 25 July 2013 Imperial College London

The Problem?

High density, high velocity penetrators defeat armour by;

"Shear Plugging" - locally imparting so much energy that the material comes close to melting, and burrowing through, or

Behind armour effects such as spall fragments caused by shock waves on the back side





Armour systems

<u>Disturber</u> - acts to rotate / break and spread out the projectile, maximising its area <u>Absorber</u> - absorbs all of the energy imparted <u>Spall liner</u> - catches spalled fragments (a) Vehicle Hull Composite Low-velocity impact Ceramic Ballistic protection / structural integrity Elastomer Composite Signiture management Metal Glass/Composite Fire / smoke / toxity protection Spall Liner **Armour Plate** (b)

Adapted from Thomas EL, Opportunities in protection materials science and technology for future army applications. The National Academies Press, 2012.

Absorbing energy

Failure occurs on necking, when the material runs out of hardening.

Then, any instability and stress localises, resulting in failure.





Performance diagram for steels



How do TWIP steels work?



Repeated twinning= dynamic Hall-Petch (AR, ε=22%)



Do they perform in high rate / blast?



The blast centre



Centre of the bulge





Fault density near a twin



Measuring grain sizes



CR + anneal



Effect of grain size



Finer grains = thinner twins (ϵ =5%)



Twins in the fine grain...



Thinking about the problem...



	σ _y (MPa)	m (GPa)	ε _f (%)	U _{pl} (GPa)
FG	750	2.26	67	0.99
AR	480	1.98	76	0.92
CG	250	1.7	85	0.81

But Intrusion...

Flow Curve:
$$\sigma = \sigma_y + m\varepsilon$$

Then impose a max. allowable strain.

If failure strain is greater, then

$$U_{\varepsilon_t} \simeq \sigma_y \varepsilon_t + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_t^2 m$$



	σ _y (MPa)	m (GPa)	ε _f (%)	U _{max} (GPa)	U ₃₀ (GPa)
Target TWIP	1300+	2.2+	41	0.7	<u>0.47</u>
FGTWIP	750	2.26	67	0.99	0.32
ARTWIP	480	1.98	76	0.92	0.23
CGTWIP	250	1.7	85	0.81	0.15
Ti-6Al-4V	950	1.0	10	0.13	0.13*
Armox 440	1300	1.54	16	0.21	0.21*

Goal: 3X strength, +10% hardening & 2X usable energy adsorbtion

Adding interstitial N

Pressurised ESR: Additions of up to 1 wt% N increase austenitic stainless steel strengths to ~2GPa.

ESR originally developed in the 1960s in the UK in Sheffield.

But, no onshore PESR capability.



Adding microalloying

Ti, Nb,V additions can increase strength without interfering with the TWIP mechanism.

Both retarding grain growth in hot rolling (μ m grains), and providing nm precipitates

Ti additions promote TiN – limit to 0.1wt% - maybe 150 MPa

V additions – limit to 0.4 wt% - up to 300 MPa

See Scott et al, Int J Mat Res 102(5):538-549, 2011.

Initial Process Route Development



Need a recrystallisation heat treatment (T,t) to generate the correct grain size AND ~nm precipitate size

+Ti TWIP



Melted from master alloys, hot rolled, annealed - 30 μ m grain size

Conclusions

The TWIP mechanism does operate in the blast regime.

Not all of the ductility is useful.

Improved, higher strength TWIP alloys that retain the hardening rate associated with the TWIP mechanism would be desirable.

Some thoughts on how to do this have been presented

Backup Slides

Effect on twin thickness (ε=5%)

